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## Introduction

- Applications
- Single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq)
- Latent representations in deep learning
- Astronomy
- ...and much more

■ t-SNE implementations scale poorly to large datasets (e.g. 8 hours for dataset of 1 million points in 500 dimensional space)

■ FFT-accelerated Interpolation-based t-SNE (FIt-SNE), for faster t-SNE (30 min for same dataset)

- Out-of-Core PCA (oocPCA) for datasets that don't fit in memory


## Applications: scRNA-seq

- Bulk RNA-seq averages expression across all cells
- Single cell RNA-seq measures expression in individual cells
- Results tabulated as an expression matrix
- columns are genes $(\sim 30,000)$
- rows are cells ( $\sim 10^{3}$ to $10^{6}$ )

- Number of cells growing rapidly


## Applications: scRNA-seq

## For example, t -SNE of 1.3 million brain cells ${ }^{1}$



## t-SNE Optimization

- Input: $d$-dimensional dataset $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- Output: s-dimensional embedding $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{s}, s \ll d$

■ Goal: $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ close in the input space $\Longrightarrow y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ are also close

- Affinities between points $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ in the input space, $p_{i j}$ - 'Gaussian'

$$
p_{i \mid j}=\frac{\exp \left(-\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2} / 2 \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp \left(-\left\|x_{i}-x_{k}\right\|^{2} / 2 \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{i j}=\frac{p_{i \mid j}+p_{j \mid i}}{2 N}
$$

■ Affinities between points $y_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ - Cauchy kernel

$$
q_{i j}=\frac{\left(1+\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1}}{\sum_{k \neq l}\left(1+\left\|y_{k}-y_{l}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1}}
$$

■ Minimize Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$
C(\mathcal{Y})=\sum_{i \neq j} p_{i j} \log \frac{p_{i j}}{q_{i j}}
$$

## Gradient Descent

■ Minimize $C(\mathcal{Y})$ via gradient descent

$$
\frac{\partial C}{\partial y_{i}}=4 Z \sum_{j \neq i}\left(p_{i j}-q_{i j}\right) q_{i j}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)
$$

■ $Z$ is a global normalization constant

$$
Z=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(1+\left\|y_{\ell}-y_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)}
$$

- Split into two parts

$$
\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y_{i}}=\underbrace{Z \sum_{j \neq i} p_{i j} q_{i j}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)}_{F_{\mathrm{attr}, i}}-\underbrace{Z \sum_{j \neq i} q_{i j}^{2}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)}_{F_{\text {rep }, i}}
$$

- Direct calculation: $O\left(N^{2}\right)$


## Repulsion term - $F_{\text {rep }}$

$$
F_{\text {rep }, k}(m)=\left(\sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq k}}^{N} \frac{y_{\ell}(m)-y_{k}(m)}{\left(1+\left\|y_{\ell}-y_{k}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) /\left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^{N} \sum_{\substack{ }}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(1+\left\|y_{\ell}-y_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)}\right)
$$

- Combinations of

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} K\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right) \sigma_{j}
$$

where

$$
K(y, z)=\frac{1}{1+\|y-z\|^{2}} \quad \text { or } \quad K(y, z)=\frac{1}{\left(1+\|y-z\|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Existing methods: Tree Codes/ Fast-multipole methods (FMMs)
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FMM matrices

$$
F_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} K\left(y_{i}, z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j}
$$

■ $K(y, z)$ singular when

$$
y=z
$$

- Self-interaction: full-rank
- Tree refinement strategy:
$O(1)$ particles per leaf box

|  |  | Low rank | Low rank | Low rank |  | Low rank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Low rank |  |  |  |  |
| Low rank |  |  |  | Low rank | Low rank | Low rank |  |
| Low rank | Low rank |  |  |  | Low rank |  |  |
| Low rank |  | Low rank |  |  |  | Low rank | Low rank |
|  |  | Low rank | Low rank |  |  |  | Low rank |
| Low rank |  | Low rank |  | Low rank |  |  |  |
|  |  | Low rank | Low rank |  |  |  |  |

## Self-interaction - smooth kernels

- t-SNE kernels - smooth even for $y=z$
- Even self-interaction can be compressed!



## Polynomial interpolation based fast algorithms

- $K_{p}(y, z)$ - polynomial interpolant of $K(y, z)$ of order $p$

■ $\tilde{y}_{\ell}, \tilde{z}_{m}$ - interpolation nodes, $L$ - Lagrange polynomials; then

$$
K_{p}(y, z)=\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p^{2}} K\left(\tilde{y}_{\ell}, \tilde{z}_{m}\right) L_{j, \tilde{y}}(y) L_{\ell, \tilde{z}}(z)
$$

- Replace

$$
\phi_{\ell}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} K\left(y_{\ell}, z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} \quad \text { with } \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\ell}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{p}\left(y_{\ell}, z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j}
$$

- Relative error:

$$
\frac{\left|\phi_{\ell}-\tilde{\phi}_{\ell}\right|}{\left|\phi_{\ell}\right|} \leq \sup \left|K(y, z)-K_{p}(y, z)\right|
$$

- For fixed tolerance $\varepsilon, p$ depends on smoothness of $K$, independent of $N$
- Greengard, Rokhlin, Gimbutas, Ying, Darve, Zorin, Biros, Barnett, Ho, Gillman, Martinsson,...


## $\sum_{j} K_{p}\left(y_{\ell}, z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_{\ell} & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{p^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{p^{2}} K\left(\tilde{y}_{m}, \tilde{z}_{n}\right) L_{m, \tilde{y}}\left(y_{\ell}\right) L_{n, \tilde{z}}\left(z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{p^{2}} L_{m, \tilde{y}}\left(y_{\ell}\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{p^{2}} K\left(\tilde{y}_{m}, \tilde{z}_{n}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{n, \tilde{z}}\left(z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Step 1:

$$
w_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{n, \tilde{z}}\left(z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} \quad \text { Work: } O\left(N \cdot p^{2}\right)
$$

- Step 2:

$$
v_{m}=\sum_{n=1}^{p^{2}} K\left(\tilde{y}_{m}, \tilde{z}_{n}\right) w_{n} \quad \text { Work: } O\left(p^{4}\right)
$$

- Step 3:

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{\ell}=\sum_{m=1}^{p^{2}} L_{m, \tilde{y}}\left(y_{\ell}\right) v_{m} \quad \text { Work: } O\left(M \cdot p^{2}\right)
$$

## Algorithm illustration



## FFT accelerated interpolation based t-SNE (FIt-SNE)

- Subdivide domain into $N_{\text {int }} \times N_{\text {int }}$ boxes
- Given $\varepsilon$, determine $p$
- Equispaced interpolation nodes
- In each box, compute effective charges at interpolation nodes

$$
w_{n, \ell}=\sum_{y_{j} \in B_{\ell}}^{N} L_{n, \tilde{y}^{\ell}}\left(y_{j}\right) \sigma_{j} \quad \text { Work: } O\left(N \cdot p^{2}\right)
$$

- Interaction between equispaced nodes - via FFT

$$
v_{m, n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text {in }}^{2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p^{2}} K\left(\tilde{y}_{m, n}, \tilde{y}_{\ell, j}\right) w_{\ell, j} \quad \text { Work: } O\left(\left(N_{\mathrm{int}} \cdot p\right)^{2} \log \left(N_{\mathrm{int}} \cdot p\right)\right)
$$

- Interpolate, for $y_{i} \in B_{\ell}$,

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2}} L_{m, \tilde{y}^{\ell}}\left(y_{i}\right) v_{m, \ell} \quad \text { Work: } O\left(N \cdot p^{2}\right)
$$

## Choosing $N_{\text {int }}$ and $p$

- Large $p$ with equispaced nodes - unstable
- t-SNE kernels archetypical examples of Runge phenomenon
- $L \leq 1.4, p<10$ works

■ For fixed accuracy, $N_{\text {int }} \cdot p$ constant $\Longrightarrow$ Computational complexity $O\left(N \cdot p^{2}\right)$

## Runge phenomenon and equispaced interpolation



## Error estimates

## 1-D interpolation:

■ $\tilde{x}_{j}=-L / 2+(j-1 / 2) * L / p \quad j=1,2, \ldots p$
■ $f(x)=1 /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$ or $f(x)=1 /\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}$

- Interpolation error:

$$
\left|f(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{p} L_{j,\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}}(x) f\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)\right| \leq \frac{f^{p}(\zeta)}{p!} \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^{p}\left|x-\tilde{x}_{j}\right|}_{\pi_{p}(x)}
$$

- Estimates:

$$
\left|f^{p}\right| \leq \frac{p+2}{2} p!\quad\left|\pi_{p}(x)\right| \leq \frac{(2 p)!}{2^{2 p} p!}\left(\frac{L}{p}\right)^{p}
$$

- Error in 1-D

$$
\left|f(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{p} L_{j,\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}}(x) f\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)\right| \leq \frac{p+2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{L}{e}\right)^{p} e^{\frac{1}{24 p}} .
$$

## Error estimates - II

- In $d$-dimensions

$$
f(x)=1 /\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad f(x)=1 /\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

■ In d-dimensional interpolation, estimates via error estimates along lines

- Interpolation error:

$$
\left|f(\boldsymbol{x})-\sum_{j=1}^{p} L_{j,\left\{\tilde{x}_{j}\right\}}(\boldsymbol{x}) f\left(\tilde{x}_{j}\right)\right| \leq \frac{p+2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{2^{d} L}{e}\right)^{p} e^{\frac{1}{24 p}} .
$$

- Not sharp for $d>1$


## Algorithm Illustration - Step 1

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{p^{2}} L_{m, \tilde{y}}\left(y_{\ell}\right)(\sum_{n=1}^{p^{2}} K\left(\tilde{y}_{m}, \tilde{z}_{n}\right) \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{n, \tilde{z}}\left(z_{j}\right) \sigma_{j}\right)}_{w_{n}})
$$



## Algorithm Illustration - Step 2

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{p^{2}} L_{m, \tilde{y}}\left(y_{\ell}\right) \underbrace{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{p^{2}} K\left(\tilde{y}_{m}, \tilde{z}_{n}\right) w_{n}\right.}_{v_{m}})
$$



## Algorithm Illustration - Step 3

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{p^{2}} L_{m, \tilde{y}}\left(y_{\ell}\right) v_{m}
$$



## Matrix decomposition

- Matrix K block separable, all submatrices low rank
- $K_{i, j}=U_{i} S_{i, j} U_{j}^{T}$
$\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}U_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & U_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & U_{N_{\text {int }}^{2}}\end{array}\right]}_{U} \cdot \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}S_{1,1} & S_{1,2} & \ldots & S_{1, N_{\text {int }}^{2}} \\ S_{2,1} & S_{2,2} & \ldots & S_{2, N_{\text {int }}^{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{N_{\text {int }}^{2}, 1} & S_{N_{\text {int }}^{2}}, & \ldots & S_{N_{\text {int }}^{2}, N_{\text {int }}^{2}}\end{array}\right]}_{S} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cccc}U_{1}^{T} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & U_{2}^{T} & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & U_{N_{\text {int }}^{2}}^{T}\end{array}\right]$
- $U_{i}: n_{i} \times p^{2}$ matrix
- S - Toeplitz (almost)

Attractive forces - $F_{\text {attr }}$

$$
p_{i j} \sim \exp \left(-\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2} / \sigma\right)
$$

- Computing $p_{i j}$ - a local calculation
- Attractive forces

$$
F_{\mathrm{attr}, i}=\sum_{j \neq i} p_{i j} q_{i j} Z\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right) \approx \sum_{j \in \mathrm{KNN} \text { of } i} p_{i j} q_{i j} Z\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right) .
$$

■ One time computation - doesn't need to be computed every iteration of gradient descent

## Nearest Neighbors

■ bhtsne: exact nearest neighbors

- Using vantage point-trees
- Slows down in high dimensions
- Flt-SNE: approximate nearest neighbors
- Using ANNOY ${ }^{2}$
- Random projections
- Smoothing effect ${ }^{3}$ from using near neighbors?

[^0]
## oocPCA for Big Data

- What if dataset is extremely large?
- Computers without enough memory to load data cannot visualize it
- e.g. 1 million cells with 30,000 genes requires 240GB!
- Out-of-core implementation of randomized PCA
- Compute the top few ( $\sim 50$ ) principal components of a dataset without loading it entirely

■ Mundane computers can visualize/analyze the largest datasets

- oocPCA computes top 50 principal components with varying memory limitations:

| Memory (GB) | 1 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 128 | 300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (Min) | 15.9 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 8.4 |

## MNIST data



- $10^{6}$ digit images from Infinite MNIST data-set
- Late exaggeration to separate clusters more effectively
G. Lindermann and S. Steinerberger (2017)


## Retinal cells and t-SNE heatmaps



1D t-SNE heatmaps (left) vs 2 D t-SNE (right) for $4.9 \times 10^{4}$ retinal cells

## Numerical results - Flt-SNE



Numerical results - Fast nearest neighbors


## Summary

■ We developed fast algorithms for data visualization and dimensionality reduction using t-SNE which is roughly 15 times faster than the state of the art

■ We presented interpolation based fast algorithms for $N$ - body interactions with smooth kernels

- Late exaggeration for better separation of clusters
- Out of core PCA for visualizing extremely large data sets on laptops

■ Github: https://github.com/KlugerLab/FIt-SNE

## Future work

- Better convergence estimates and theoretical framework

■ Different affinities for input and target spaces
■ Fast multipole style multi-level schemes

- Questions?
- Questions?

Thank you


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ https://github.com/spotify/annoy
    ${ }^{3}$ G. Linderman and S. Steinerberger (2017) arXiv:1711.04712.

